

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/534,433	11/21/2005	Sang-Kyu Lee	NAMNP0103US	5833	
Neil A DuChe	7590 04/20/2010 2	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Renner Otton	Boisselle & Sklar	JOIKE, M	JOIKE, MICHELE K		
1621 Euclid A 19th Floor	venue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH 44115			1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,433	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michele K. Joike	1636		

		Michele K. Joike	1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b)	☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. .ED WITHIN TWO			
have to under set for may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date: een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	Flad within two months	of the date of			
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a			
=	<u>IDMENTS</u>						
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
	 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c 			ne issues for			
	NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	33(a)).					
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	planation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8,14,16 and 18.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFII</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. 🗵	The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	Other:	, ,					
		/Michele K. Joike/					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

Cont. of 3. The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that require further search and consideration. In claim 8, in step iv, the fusion protien of step ii is combined extracellularly with the vector of step iii. This is a new limitation that has not been searched before.

Cont. of 11. Applicants argue that extracellular combination of the fusion protien and vector is a limitation already present in the claims. Step v of claim 8 has the binding complex delivered into the cytoplasm or nucleus, which means that the binding complex is delivered into the cell. This is not found to be persuasive because delivering the binding complex into the nucleus means that the binding complex could have been in the cytoplasm, in other words already in the cell. Previously, nothing in step iv indicated that the combination had to occur outside the cell.